US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

007059

概 - 6 1930

MAR 6 1989

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

#### MEMORANDUM

EPA Reg. No. 100-524. Evaluation of L5178Y/TK+/- Mouse SUBJECT:

Lymphoma Mutagenicity Test with Technical Diazinon

(G 24 480). EPA Guidelines No. 84-2

Krystyna K. Locke, Toxicologist | Martyna K. Wche 1/21/89
Section I, Toxicology Branch I (IRS) FROM:

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

George T. LaRocca, PM Team (15) TO:

Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch

Registration Division (H7505C)

Edwin R. Budd, Section Head THRU:

Section I, Toxicology Branch I (IRS)

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

Record No.: 234058

MRID/Accession No.: 406608-02

Tox. Chem. No.: T.B. Project No .:

Toxicology Branch I/IRS has completed an evaluation of the following study:

L5178Y/TK+/- Mouse Lymphoma Mutagenicity Test; Ciba-Geigy Limited, Basle, Switzerland; No. 840396; July 31, 1986.

Technical Diazinon (G 24 480) was not mutagenic in this test, with or without metabolic activation (S9). The concentrations of Diazinon tested were 12-120 ug/mL of assay medium without S9 and 6-60 ug/mL with S9. Concentrationdependent cytotoxicity was observed at Diazinon levels above 72 ug/mL without S9 and 12 ug/mL with S9. Diazinon concentrations of 120 ug/mL (HDT without S9) and 60 ug/mL (HDT with S9) were too cytotoxic to be evaluated for mutagenicity. Known mutagens, dimethylnitrosamine (DMN) requiring S9 and ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) not requiring S9 were both mutagenic and cytotoxic in this test.

Provisionally Acceptable, pending Classification of Study: submission of additional (explanatory) data.

Reviewed by: Krystyna K. Locke, Toxicologist Payothia E. Locke Section I, Tox. Branch I/IRS (H7509C)

Secondary Reviewer: Edwin R. Budd, Section Head (

Section I, Tox. Branch I/IRS (H7509C)

Secondary Reviewer: Irving Mauer, Geneticist

Tox. Branch I/IRS (H7509C)

## DATA EVALUATION REPORT

Study Type: 84-2. Mutagenic (L5178Y/TK+/- Mouse Lymphoma)

Tox. Chem. No.: 342 MRID No.: 406608-02

Test Material: Diazinon Technical (G 24 480); Batch No. P. 203008; 97.2% pure.

Study Number(s): 840396

Sponsor: Ciba-Geigy Corporation, Greensboro, NC.

Testing Facility: Ciba-Geigy Limited, Basle, Switzerland.

Title of Report: L5178Y/TK+/- Mouse Lymphoma Mutagenicity Test.

Author(s): P. Beilstein and P. Dollenmeier

Report Issued: July 31, 1986

#### Conclusions:

Technical Diazinon was not mutagenic in this study. It did not induce forward point mutations in the L5178Y/TK+/- mouse lymphoma cells as monitored by cell growth in the presence of 5-bromodecxyuridine (BUdR), with or without a metabolic activation system (S9).

Positive results were obtained with known mutagens, dimethylnitrosamine (DMN) requiring S9 and ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) not requiring S9. Concentration-dependent cytotoxicity was observed at Diazinon levels above 72  $\mu$ g/mL without S9 and 12  $\mu$ g/mL with S9. Diazinon concentrations of 120  $\mu$ g/mL (HDT without S9) and 60  $\mu$ g/mL (HDT with S9) were too cytotoxic to be evaluated for mutagenicity.

Mouse lymphoma cells L5178Y/TK+/- were exposed to technical Diazinon (12-120 µg/mL and 6-60 µg/mL without and with S9, respectively) for 4 hours, centrifuged, washed (to remove test substance), maintained in exponential growth for 3 days (expression time) and then grown either in the presence of 5-bromodecxyuridine (BUdR) to determine mutations or without BUdR to determine cytotoxicity. Cultures containing BUdR were grown for 14-15 days and those without BUdR for 12 days. Solvent control (containing dimethylsulfoxide; DMSO),—negative control

(without DMSO) and positive controls were treated in the same manner.

Classification of Study: Provisionally Acceptable pending submission of additional data to explain calculations for "Relative Suspension Growth" and "Mutant Frequency" (see review, COMMENTS, for details).

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The mouse lymphoma cell line (L5178Y/TK+/-) used in this study was obtained from Dr. D. Clive, Burroughs Wellcome Company, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

The procedure used in the mutagenicity test was based on that reported by Clive and Spector (1975). Exponentially growing cells (3 x 10 cells/mL, cleansed of spontaneous TK-/mutants, were treated with the following concentrations of Diazinon: 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 108 and 120 µg/mL of assay medium in the absence of S9 (metabolic activation system) and 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, 54, and 60 µg/mL with S9 (added to assay medium before Diazinon). Dimethylnitrosamine (DMN; 8 µL/mL) and ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS; 0.75  $\mu$ L/mL) were used as positive controls with and without S9, respectively. Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was the solvent for Diazinon, but DMN and EMS, both liquids, were apparently used in assays as purchased (undiluted). The final concentration of DMSO in the medium was 1%. The assay medium without test material and DMSO was used as a negative control. The exposure time for all cultures was 4 hours. concentrations of Diazinon used were based on the results of the range-finding study (preliminary cytotoxicity test).

At the termination of the exposure, the cell suspensions were centrifuged and the resulting pellets washed with F10P medium (Fischer's medium with antibiotics and 10% horse serum) to remove the test material. The washed cells were resuspended in F10P medium and maintained in exponential growth for 3 days to express the induced forward TK-/- mutants.

At the end of the 3-day expression time, cells were grown either in a selective, semi-solid agar medium containing 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BUdR; 50 μg/mL) to determine mutation (mutant selection cultures) or in a nonselective medium (without BUdR) to determine cytotoxicity (viability control cultures). For mutant selection, 8 tubes were prepared at each concentration

<sup>&#</sup>x27;CLIVE, D., and J.F.S. SPECTOR: Laboratory procedure for assessing specific locus mutations at the TK locus in cultured 15178Y mouse lymphoma cells. Mutation Res. 31, 17-29 (1975).

containing 4 x  $10^5$  cells per tube. For viability control, 4 tubes were prepared at each concentration containing 200 cells per tube.

The incubation time was 14-15 days for cultures containing BUdR and 12 days for those without BUdR. At the end of the incubation time, the cell colonies were counted with a Colony Counter (Fisher Count-All<sup>27</sup>, Model 600) and the results were expressed in terms of the number of induced TK-/- mutants/10° cells.

In the <u>preliminary cytotoxicity test</u>, exponentially growing mouse lymphoma L5178Y/TK+/- cells (3 x 10<sup>t</sup> cells/mL) were exposed for 4 hours to seven concentrations of Diazinon ranging from 15.6 to 1000 µg/mL of assay medium, with and without S9. After removal of Diazinon, the cells were washed with F10P medium and then incubated in the same medium for 3 days. Cell counts were performed daily and the percentages of the relative suspension growth in comparison with the solvent control (1% DMSO) were evaluated. The concentration of Diazinon calculated to produce about 85% reduction in the relative suspension growth in comparison with the solvent control was used as the highest dose in the mutagenicity test (above). Other concentrations of Diazinon used, with and without S9, corresponded to 0.9, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4, 0.2 and 0.1 of the highest concentration.

S9 (postmitochondrial) fraction, obtained from the livers of Aroclor 1254-treated male SPF RAI rats, was purchased from Analabs Inc., North Haven, Connecticut, U.S.A. S9 was used with various cofactors in the preliminary cytotoxicity test and in the mutagenicity test.

Statistical analyses were not performed for the mutagenicity test or for the preliminary cytotoxicity test.

#### RESULTS

## Cytotoxicity

In the concentration range-finding experiment, growth of mouse lymphoma cells (relative suspension growth) was completely suppressed at Diazinon concentrations of 125-1000  $\mu$ g/mL of assay medium, with and without metabolic activation (S9). At other concentrations of Diazinon tested (1.5-62.5  $\mu$ g/mL), greater cytotexicity was observed with than without S9, and cytotexicity was concentration-dependent in the presence of S9. At a Diazinon concentration of 62.5  $\mu$ g/mL, growth of mouse lymphoma cells was inhibited 92.1 and 40.3% with and without S9, respectively, relative to solvent controls. At Diazinon concentrations of 15.6 and 31.2  $\mu$ g/mL, cellular growth was inhibited by 14.1 and 26.4%, respectively, with S9, but no inhibition occurred without S9.

In the mutagenicity test, without S9, growth of mouse lymphoma cells (relative suspension growth) was inhibited only at the three highest concentrations of Diazinon tested (96-120  $\mu g/mL)$ , relative to solvent controls. Without S9, cellular growth was inhibited at the five highest concentrations of Diazinon tested, relative to solvent controls. The inhibitions, without and with S9, were concentration-dependent. Positive controls, EMS and DMN, were also cytotoxic. These data are summarized below.

# Cytotoxicity of Diazinon: Percent Inhibition of Mouse Lymphoma Cell Growth, Relative to Solvent Controls

Diazinon (ug/mL)	Without metabolic activation (S9)
12	0
24	3.2
48	0
72	0
96	70.0
108	96.4
120	99.2
EMS $(0.75 \mu L/mL)$	88.1
	With metabolic activation (S9)
6	11.0
12	.0
24	39.7
36	57.2
48	92.6
54	97.8
60	99.6
DMN (8 $\mu$ L/mL)	61.0

<sup>\*</sup>See Attachment I for details.

## Mutation Frequency

The highest concentrations of Diazinon at which mutation frequency could be assayed were 108  $\mu$ g/mL without S9 and 54  $\mu$ g/mL with S9. Diazinon concentrations of 120  $\mu$ g/mL (HDT) without S9 and 60  $\mu$ g/mL (HDT) with S9 could not be evaluated because of high cytotoxicity.

At Diazinon concentrations of 12-96  $\mu$ g/mL without S9 and 6-54  $\mu$ g/mL with S9, mutation frequencies were similar for treated and untreated cells. At a Diazinon concentration of 108  $\mu$ g/mL, in the absence of S9, the mutation frequency was almost twice as high as that observed with the solvent control, but was probably due to significant decrease (96.4%) in cell viability. Positive

results were obtained with known mutagens, EMS and DMN. Results obtained in the mutagenicity test are summarized below.

Diazinon (μg/mL) Without S9	Mutant Frequency x 10E -6	Mutation Factor
0 (SC)	54.7	ipo epo
0 (NC)	33.5	•
12	56.4	1.03
24	59.0	1.08
48	51.2	0.94
72	65.0	1.19
96	54.9	1.00
108	97.4	1.78
EMS $(0.75 \mu L/mL)$	1745.8	50.11
With S9		
0(SC)	42.9	
O(NC)	30.6	÷ •
6	45.9	1.07
12	42.4	0.99
24	33.0	0.77
36	43.8	1.02
48	45.9	1.07
54	51.4	1.20
DMN (8 $\mu$ L/ $m$ L)	630.3	20.60

<sup>\*(</sup>Mutant clones x 800/viable clones)/3.2. See Attachment I for details.

Ratio of mutant frequency for Diazinon-containing culture/mutant frequency of solvent control (SC). In the case of positive controls, EMS and DMN, negative control (NC) was used to calculate mutation factors.

According to the testing laboratory, the test substance is generally considered mutagenic in this test system if the mutant colony count exceeds that of the solvent control by a factor of more than 2.5 at any concentration. Based on this criterion and/or the similarities in mutant frequencies between the Diazinon-treated and control cultures, Diazinon was not mutagenic in the L5178Y/TK+/- mouse lymphoma cell system.

## COMMENTS

The experimental procedures were reported adequately, with the following exceptions:

1. It was not stated whether positive controls (DMN and EMS), both liquids, were used in the assay medium as

purchased or were first diluted with DMSO. Since in the RESULTS section Diazinon-treated cell cultures were compared with solvent controls and those containing DMN and EMS with negative controls, this reviewer assumed that positive controls were used as purchased.

2. Purities of the positive control substances were not reported.

The following <u>results</u> either cannot be verified or are ambiguous and additional data are, therefore, required:

- 1. Relative Susp. Growth (% of Control): Tables 1, 4 and 7. Using "Daily Counts," values reported for "Relative Susp. Growth" could not be obtained. A sample calculation is, therefore, required.
- 2. Mutant frequencies (Tables 4 and 7) were calculated using the following formula: (Mutant clones x 800/ viable clones)/3.2. No explanation is provided as to what "800" and "3.2" are, and this information is required.

Quality Assurance Statement, signed and dated July 30, 1986, was included in the report.

Classification of Study: Provisionally Acceptable, pending submission of the requested data.

Attachment I

Test substance: Batch No.	0 =	24 480 techn 203008	'n.		an an an an	Test Bo		840396 Brgo 14	•
	. 1	3	Without	Act ivation		with me	stabol ic	With metabolic Activation	
Concentration	<u> </u>	Daily Counts (Cells/mlx10E-5)	Counts m1x10E-5)	Relative Susp.Growth (% of Control)	pari (Cell	Daily (Counts (Cells/mlx106-5)	H 5 K - 5)	Relative Susp. Growth (% of Control)	
Solvent Control		7.1 13.1	= -	100.0	6.9	11.9	13.2	100.0	% 3.LL.b.
U.S. 625) uq/m1	<del></del>	B. S 12.7	15.2	149, 5	٠.	12.0	12.5	85.9	14.1
(11, 25) us/m1		7.5 13.1	12.1	108.3 54.55	4.7	6.1	12.4	73.6	4.3%
(62.5) uq/ml		4.8 11.1	12.3	59.7 (40.3%)	9.0	 8		6.1	32.
125 (197)	<b></b>	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	e. e	0.0	
250 ug/m1		0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	e .	0.0	
1 m/tio 005		0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1000 00/11	• • • •	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	e. e	0.0	

(Relative suspension growth x relative cloning efficiency) / 100 (Nutant clones x 800 / b) able clones ) / 3.2 & of control

99.1 Insufficient cell number for mutant-selection

0.8

0.3

lm/fin

-	ď
- 5-	,
	١.
-	į
	1
>	
Ξ	
-	1
ن	
_	1
_	
- :-	1
<u> </u>	1
	1
<	i
	i
=	1
	1
Z	1
	1
_	ì
~	i
~	!
_	ţ
=	t
•	ı
=	1
-	١
>	ŧ
	١
	í
	ì
_	1
	ı
=	ł
=	i
=	;
4	١
	ţ
_	١
	i
	:
	ŀ
•	ı
-	ì
~	ĺ
-	ı
· (	ì
517HY/TK (+/-) NOUSE LYMPHOMA MUTAGEDICITY TEST	
- t	١
-	i
=	
. ~ 1	ļ
(	

Table 4

ion
ivati
act
5
Labol
Ē
vithout.

	Nutant frequency x 108-6***	54.7	2.5	1745.8		56.4	59.0	5.1.2	65.0	54.9	41.4
840 196 Drigo - 18		100.0	1.707	-:		164.9	93.4	105.0	3.16	25.8	9.7
Test No.: 84 Solvent : Dr	Relative 8 Cloning Relative Efficiency* Growth**	100.0	. 145.6	9.4	٠	7.06	5.96	16.3	87.7	82.8	71.6
	Total Total Nutant Viable Clones Clones	430	929	<u>6</u> 5		390	هر ت د	371	37.1	369	308
	Total Nutant Clones	94)	<b>2</b>	412		<b>E</b>	96	16	9.6	=	120)
-		→ °		- #8		0	ر دغ	0	0	0r.	4.79
	Rel.Susp. Growth *	100.0	139.0	11.9		181.6	96.8	121.7	104.7	30.0	3.6
	nt.s 106.5)	9.7	12.1	4.1	-	12.4	9.7	12.1	13.2	9.7	4.4
techn.	Daily Counts (Cells/ml x 1085)	13.4	13.5	9.3		16.7	14.9	14.2	14.6	11.4	1.7
G 24 480 P. 203008 20.1.86	na (Ce l	8.5	5	æ• <b>•</b>		6.7	7.4	7.7	0.9	2.8	6.0
Test substance: G 24 480 techn. Batch No. : P. 203008 Test Date : 20.1.86	1 1 2 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	ent Control	Negative Control	0.75 ul/ml	punoduóa	us/m1	(m/bn	lm/tin	ug/m1	ud/m1	lm/fm
Fest Batch Fest		Solvent	Nedal	CM:	Test	1.2	24	48	12	96	801

301 120

Batch No. Test Date		P. 203008	203008 .1.86					Solvent : DA	0400 12 0400 12	
		Da (Ce 1	Baily Coun	10ES)	Rel.Susp. Growth *	Total Nutant Clones	Total Viable Clones	Relative Cloning Efficiency*	Relative Growth.	Mutant frequency x 101 -6 * *
Solvent	nt Control	9.4	12.3	11.1	100.0	.84)	490	100.0	100.0	42.9
Ded of	Begative Control	6.8	14.9	9.5	98.2	70	572	116.7	114.6	30.6
nua .	8.00 ul/ml	7.5	9.1	7.2	39.0	295	1117	20.5	0.0	630.3
Test.	punoduóa				<del></del>					
و	lm/bn	6	1.1	10.5	89.0	16	964	101.2	90.1	15.9
12	ug/m1	8.6	10.0	16.3	109.2 )	16	5.16	109.4	119.5	42.4
24	lm/m1	7.1	11.6	9.4	60.1 34.7	70	5 30	1 08 . 2	65.2	33.0
36	1m/60	6.4	z. 5	10.1	42.8 512		451	92.0	19.4	43.8
<b>3</b>	100/601	2.3	3.7	9.6	7.4 426	69 7	376	76.1	2.7	45.9
5.4	[m/bn	2.9	2.7	3.1	2.2 47.8	(09)	292	59.6		51.4
09	1m/tm	2.8	<b>*</b>	9.0	0.4 %	%. Insufficient		cell number fo	for mutant	mut ant select ren
	% of control (Relative suspension growth (Nutant clones x 800 / vial	spension es x 800	on growt	×	relative cloning efficiency) / 100 clones ) / 3.2	η efficie	ncy) /	001		U

LSI 28Y/TK (+/-) MOUSE LYMPHOMA MUTAGENICITY TEST

Table 7

with metabolic activation